

Stability of hybrid systems

Multiple Lyapunov function approach

Zdeněk Hurák

November 2023

Example: CQLF cannot be found

Multiple Lyapunov Function (MLF) approach to analysis of stability

- Not just a single common Lyapunov function $V(\boldsymbol{x})$.
- Instead, several Lyapunov-like functions $V_i(\boldsymbol{x})$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, that are Lyapunov on some subsets of the state space Ω_i .
- Stitch them together to form

$$V(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} V_1(\boldsymbol{x}) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega_1, \\ \vdots & \\ V_r(\boldsymbol{x}) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega_r, \end{cases}$$

S-procedure

- A result about solvability of two or more quadratic inequalities, not necessarily convex ones.
 - For convex problems we have nonlinear Farkas' lemma.
- Origin in the control theory (analysis of stability of nonlinear systems, hence the S letter) with the first rigorous result provided by Yakubovich in 1970s.
- It gives conditions under which (satisfaction of) one quadratic inequality follows from (satisfaction of) another one (or more), that is, it gives a condition under which the following implication holds:
- Quadratic inequality #1 satisfied by some $x \Rightarrow$ Quadratic inequality #0 satisfied by the same x .
- In other words, it gives a condition under which the solution set of the inequality #1 denoted as $\mathcal{X}_1$ is included in the solution set $\mathcal{X}_0$ of the inequality #0.

S-procedure with nonstrict inequalities

- Consider the general quadratic functions

$$F_i(x) = x^\top A_i x + 2b_i^\top x + c_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, p.$$

- Question: under which conditions $F_0(x) \geq 0$ for all x satisfying $F_i(x) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p$?
- In other words, we are looking for conditions under which the implication

$$F_i(x) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_0(x) \geq 0$$

holds.

- In the simplest (yet relevant) case $n = 1$ we search for conditions under which $F_0(x) \geq 0$ for all x satisfying $F_1(x) \geq 0$,
- that is, conditions under which the implication

$$F_1(x) \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_0(x) \geq 0$$

holds.

Sufficient conditions

The existence of $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, p$ such that

$$F_0(x) - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i F_i(x) \geq 0.$$

- Generally not necessary, the condition is conservative.
- It can be formulated as an LMI

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & b_0 \\ b_0^\top & c_0 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \begin{bmatrix} A_i & b_i \\ b_i^\top & c_i \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

where $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, p$.

Sufficient and also necessary

- It is nontrivial that for $p = 1$ it is also necessary, provided that there is some x_0 such that $F_1(x_0) > 0$.
- Then we have the following equivalence between the two constraints:

$$F_0(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \text{ satisfying } F_1(x) \geq 0$$

$$\iff$$

$$F_0(x) - \alpha F_1(x) \geq 0, \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0,$$

- which again can be formulated as an LMI, namely

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & b_0 \\ b_0^\top & c_0 \end{bmatrix} - \alpha \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & b_1 \\ b_1^\top & c_1 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

More on S-procedure

- There are several variants
 - strict, nonstrict or mixed inequalities,
 - just two or more,
 - some of the constraints can be equations.
- Literature (freely available online)
 - Boyd, S., El Ghaoui, L., Feron, E., Balakrishnan, V., 1994. Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory. SIAM. Pages 23 and 24.
 - Boyd, S., Vandenberghe, L., 2004. Convex Optimization. Cambridge University Press. Page 655.

Piecewise quadratic Lyapunov function

- Quadratic Lyapunov-like functions, that is, quadratic functions $V_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{x}$ that qualify as Lyapunov functions only on respective subsets $\Omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$V_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{x} > 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_i$$

$$\dot{V}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top (\mathbf{A}_i^\top \mathbf{P}_i + \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{A}_i) \mathbf{x} < 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_i$$

Using comparison functions and nonstrict inequalities

$$\alpha_1 \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{I} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{x} \leq \alpha_2 \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{I} \mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_i$$

$$\mathbf{x}^\top (\mathbf{A}_i^\top \mathbf{P}_i + \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{A}_i) \mathbf{x} \leq -\alpha_3 \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{I} \mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_i$$

Characterization of subsets of state space using LMI

- Some subsets $\Omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ characterized using linear and quadratic inequalities can be formulated as within the LMI framework as

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{Q}_i \mathbf{x} \geq 0.$$

- In particular, centered ellipsoids and cones.
- For example,

$$\Omega_i = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (\mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \wedge \mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{x} \geq 0) \vee (\mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \leq 0 \wedge \mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{x} \leq 0)\}.$$

- This constraint can be reformulated as

$$(\mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{x}) \geq 0,$$

Combining the subset characterization and Lyapunov-ness using the S-procedure

$$\alpha_i \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{I} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{x},$$

$$\mathbf{x}^\top (\mathbf{A}_i^\top \mathbf{P}_i + \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{A}_i) \mathbf{x} \leq -\gamma_i \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{I} \mathbf{x},$$

both for all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_i$, that is, all $\mathbf{x}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{Q}_i \mathbf{x} \geq 0$.

$$\mathbf{P}_i - \alpha_i \mathbf{I} - \mu_i \mathbf{Q}_i \succeq 0, \quad \mu_i \geq 0, \quad \alpha_i > 0,$$

$$\mathbf{A}_i^\top \mathbf{P}_i + \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{A}_i + \gamma_i \mathbf{I} + \xi_i \mathbf{Q}_i \preceq 0, \quad \mu_i \geq 0, \quad \gamma_i > 0.$$

More general sets

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{r}^\top \mathbf{x} + s \geq 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r}^\top & s \end{bmatrix}}_{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}} \geq 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r}^\top & s \end{bmatrix} \succcurlyeq 0$$

Example: affine subspace

$$\mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + d \geq 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c}^\top & 2d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c}^\top & 2d \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

But then the Lyapunov-like functions and system matrices must also be extended

$$V(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{P}_{12} \\ \mathbf{P}_{12} & P_{22} \end{bmatrix}}_{\bar{\mathbf{P}}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$